Editor's Note: The following article is reprinted (with updated format editions) from The Journal of Volunteer Administration, 2005, 23(4), pp. 52-56.

Community Volunteers: The Front Line of Disaster Response

M.A. Brennan
Department of Family, Youth, and Community Sciences
3002 McCarty Hall, PO Box 110310
The University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611-0310
Telephone: 352/392-1778 x229

Fax: 352/392-8196 E-mail: MABrennan@ifas.ufl.edu

Rosemary V. Barnett
Courtney G. Flint

Abstract

The dramatic and tragic events of Hurricane Katrina have highlighted the need for coordinated, community-based volunteer efforts to prepare for, and respond to, natural and other disasters. The recent hurricanes in the Gulf States underscore the problems and shortcomings associated with coordinating outside logistics and show a clear need for local volunteers to serve as the first line of response to such catastrophes. Such disasters are likely to occur again. When disasters do occur, citizen groups and coordinated local volunteers will again be the first responders, and will act to lessen impacts. This article identifies and suggests methods for linking local organizations, recruiting volunteers, and implementing coordinated action plans prior to, and after, the impact of natural disasters.

Key Words:

community, volunteers, disaster response, natural disasters

Introduction

The dramatic and tragic events of Hurricane Katrina have highlighted the need for coordinated community-based volunteer efforts to prepare for, and respond to, natural and other disasters. National attention was drawn to the potential for wide scale destruction during the 2004 Atlantic hurricane season with devastating storms resulting in 27 federal disaster declarations across 15 states. Florida was particularly hard hit in 2004 by one tropical storm and four hurricanes causing continuous and

cumulative damage. Unfortunately, these events were only a grim foreshadowing of the lost lives, devastated communities, disrupted economies, and demolished infrastructure that would come less than a year later to Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Texas, and western Florida during Hurricanes Dennis, Rita, and Katrina.

The recent disasters in the Gulf States underscore the problems and shortcomings associated with coordinating outside logistics, and show a dear need for local volunteers to serve as the first line of

response to such catastrophes. This was most obvious in the first weeks after Hurricane Katrina, when volunteers and active community residents were the rescuers, caretakers and, in many cases, the final comforting companions to the dying. They were the first, and often the only, line of response that would exist for weeks. Highlighting the importance of the local level, government officials immediately called on local citizens to volunteer their time, money, and sweat equity in addressing this massive and unprecedented natural disaster in America. Such calls took place long before significant government resources were committed. Most vividly portrayed in New Orleans, such local level action set a trend that continued in many places, particularly rural locales, for some time.

Such disasters are likely to occur again. The routine threats from hurricanes, tornados, flooding, and other natural disasters to the southeastern United States and elsewhere are well documented and predicted. In particular, given the trend of increased storm intensity, the likelihood of impending threat of severe hurricanes (Category 4 or 5) requires careful crisis and emergency planning strategies. When disasters do occur, citizen groups and coordinated efforts of local volunteers can respond to lessen the impacts and "build back better" (the theme from the 2005Tsunami recovery effort). Local residents will be the first responders. However, the process of organizing local residents must take place before, during, and after such catastrophic events occur (Berke, Kartez, & Wenger, 1993). This article identifies and suggests methods for linking local organizations, recruiting volunteers. and implementing coordinated action plans prior to, and after, the impact of natural disasters.

Importance and Role of Community in Disaster Preparation and Recovery

Communities have long been seen as helpless victims in much of the disaster and emergency management literature, where outside help has been seen as vital to returning conditions "back to normal" or to reducing social vulnerability (Berke et al., 1993; Flint & Lulo£F, 2005; Hewitt, 1998). In recent years, however, considerably more emphasis has been placed on the role of community in disaster recovery and the importance of local knowledge, action, participation, and control in determining the nature of disaster response (Anderson & Woodrow, 1989; Berke et al., 1993; Enarson & Morrow, 1998; Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), 2000a, 2000b; Mitchell, 1996; Natural Hazards Research and Applications Information Center (NHRAIC), 2001; Schwab et al., 1998; Stehr, 2001). Berke et al. (1993) looked beyond immediate disaster events: "The community can assume the role of active participant, rather than helpless victim. Local people can define goals, control resources, and direct redevelopment initiatives with long-term economic and social benefits" (p.93).

The local community serves a variety of functions that directly contribute to social and economic well-being. It is logical that the community should be the first line of defense in preparing and responding in the event of disaster. Local residents and groups are in a position to best identify their immediate needs, coordinate preparations, supplement official response efforts, implement emergency response programs, and contribute to local decision making for future events. Similarly, local communities can provide a sense of connection, and decrease the isolation and abandonment that is often felt among residents in times of disaster. Such capacity to provide these community services does not always exist,

but can be cultivated and should be encouraged and local communities empowered.

Viewing community from an interactional perspective provides a particularly useful vantage point when considering local level disaster response. From this perspective, the community is a dynamic field of interaction rather than a rigid system (Brown & Swanson, 2003; Luloff & Bridger, 2003). This process reflects the building of relationships among diverse groups of residents in pursuit of common community interests (Luloff & Bridger, 2003; Wilkinson, 1991). Through voluntary efforts, individuals interact with one another, and begin to mutually understand common needs (Brennan, 2005; Luloff & Swanson, 1995). From this interaction, voluntary efforts to improve the social, cultural, and psychological needs of local people can emerge. A central part of a community's interactional capacity is the ability to collectively construct meanings, respond to environmental and societal change, and attend to shared needs (Brennan, 2005; Flint & Luloff, 2005).

In all communities, a variety of groups exists with diverse skills and abilities combined with personal and professional experiences, which are essential to successful preparation and response to disasters (Independent Sector, 2001). Included are resident groups with needed professional and trade skills for damage control and assessment (engineers, environmental scientists, architects, contractors, and skilled laborers), disaster preparedness and response training (VFW, retired military/ national guard/police), medical, psychological and social service delivery experience (health practitioners, counselors, religious/ civic groups), as well as long time residents who have witnessed previous responses to natural disasters.

Effective community responses connect these diverse groups and develop action

plans to meet common needs. The next section discusses opportunities created by the Community Emergency Response Team Program to coordinate preparedness and response activities at the local level. Successfully linking local organizations, citizens, and leaders provides a strong network and a method for local citizens and groups to become actively involved in local preparedness and response efforts. To be most effective, this process of capacity building must take place before disasters occur, and continue during and after such catastrophic events.

Applications and Suggestions for Mobilizing Communities and Volunteers

Disaster preparedness and response is often hampered by the coordination of conflicting interests and differing pressures (Stehr, 2001). One way to mobilize local participation and readiness, while maintaining coordination among multiple jurisdictions and interests, is to establish Community Emergency Response Teams or CERTs. The CERT program, administered by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is a direct attempt to put into practice what disaster researchers and practitioners have acknowledged for some time-that a trained team of local volunteers can help provide effective disaster preparedness and disaster recovery. The CERT Web site (Community Emergency Response Teams (CERT), 2005) affirms this mission: "Naturals for the training are neighborhood watch, community organizations, communities of faith, school staff, workplace employees, scouting organizations and other groups that come together regularly for a common purpose. CERT skills are useful in disaster and everyday life events."

CERT training includes disaster preparedness, disaster fire suppression, basic medical operations, and light search and rescue operations. Resulting groups are

linked into the network of emergency management. As of August 2005, there were 1,966 community or county level CERTs across the United States. (CERT, 2005). Unfortunately, funding for Citizen Corps, the umbrella organization that administers CERT, was cut from \$40 million in 2004 to \$15 million in 2005 (Grant, 2005). This is a worrying shift in light of the current disaster recovery situation and widespread acknowledgement of the need for greater local level participation in disaster situations. Nonetheless, the CERT program is a model framework for mobilizing local volunteers as the front line of disaster response.

Similarly, more general grassroots mobilizations can plan for, respond to, and rebuild in the aftermath of disaster. Included would be active efforts to bring together diverse local groups, the formation of local groups for planning, establishment of formal long-term visioning and goal setting for disaster preparation and recovery, and recruitment of experienced local citizens to take direct action. Similarly, the establishment of alliances between local groups could set the stage for a more effective sharing of resources and responsibilities during times of crisis. Such alliances can include the identification of organizations or individuals to serve as liaisons between local grassroots efforts and more formal structures (state and federal response organizations, military and national guard, emergence response agencies).

To maximize their impact, local groups or citizen coalitions should identify their possible contributions and assess their unique resources. In this setting, asset mapping can be a valuable tool, which allows the diverse skills, resources, and expertise of organization members to be identified and most effectively utilized (Green & Haines, 2002). Asset mapping is a useful way to prepare for impending disasters and to facilitate effective postdisaster development based on

the unique character and niches of the locality. Such preparation and responses can also be further enhanced by incorporating local culture into development efforts (Brennan, Flint, et al., 2005). The unique culture of a location can provide opportunities for alternative development and response strategies.

Conclusions

Local volunteers and community level action are essential to effective natural disaster preparation and response. They are particularly important in that these citizens are, in many cases, the first responders and have the greatest chance to save lives and provide support in the hours and days immediately after disaster occurrences. Certainly, an effective community response would have diminished some, no matter how small, of the suffering and loss that occurred during and after the recent hurricanes. From the bowels of the Louisiana Superdome to the ravaged rural areas of Gulfport, Mississippi, some betterment could have been achieved if communication and logistical planning had effectively maintained crisis support.

An organized community and volunteer response could have helped in a number of ways before, during, and immediately after the recent disasters. They may have been able to

- coordinate a more successful evacuation and transportation effort, where instead thousands were unable or chose not to evacuate:
- provide some structure and order in places like the Superdome and New Orleans Convention Center, where instead chaos reigned;
- aid in organizing resources for distribution before and after the hurricane, where instead basic needs were left unmet for days; and
- decrease some of the isolation and sense

of abandonment that quickly engulfed victims in the affected areas.

Community and volunteer coordinators have an obligation to help facilitate community organization and preparation to aid fellow citizens in times of such great need. The only thing that is certain in these times is that local residents will be the first capable of responding. In these disaster settings, local volunteers and community organizations are presented with an unprecedented opportunity to make a measurable impact on the human condition. The quality and extent of this response may hold the key to minimizing disaster effects, maintaining order, increasing hope, and maximizing recovery efforts.

It is time to bring local groups together in a concerted and coordinated effort to prevent future incidents of crisis-related chaos. In the end, facilitating local involvement in disaster preparedness and response is about far more than the provision of basic and logistical needs. It ensures that local voices are heard, local struggles are recognized, and the dignity of local people is respected. With this capacity established, local citizens can respond and recover in a manner that improves local life. The response and rebuilding process will belong to the front line of disaster responders-community volunteers-who will reinvest in their communities

References

- Anderson, M., & Woodrow, P. (1989). Rising from the ashes: Development strategies in times of disaster. Boulder, CO: Westview.
- Berke, P.J., Kartez, & Wenger, D. (1993). Recovery after disaster: Achieving sustainable development, mitigation and equity. *Disasters*, 17(2), 93-109.

- Brown, D., & Swanson, L. (2003). *Challenges for rural America* in *the twenty-first century*. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- Brennan, M.A. (2005). Volunteerism and community development: A comparison of factors shaping volunteer behavior in Ireland and America. *The Journal of Volunteer Administration*, 23(2), 20-28.
- Brennan, M.A., Flint, E., & Luloff, A.E. (2005, August). Community agency, action, and local culture: A neglected relationship. Paper presented at the Rural Sociological Society annual meetings, Tampa, FL.
- Brennan, M.A., Luloff, A.E., & Finley, J.C. (2005). Building sustainable communities in forested regions. *Society and Natural Resources*, 18(9), 1-11.
- Community Emergency Response Teams (CERT)/Federal Emergency
 Management Agency Website. (2005),
 Retrieved September 30, 2005, from
 http://training.fema.gov/EMIWeb/CERT
- Enarson, E., & Morrow, B.H. (1998). *The* gendered terrain of disaster: Through women's eyes. Westport, CT:
 Greenwood.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). (2000a). Planning for a sustainable future: The link between hazard mitigation and livability (FEMA Report 364). Washington, DC: Author.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). (2000b); Rebuilding for a more sustainable future: An operational framework (FEMA Report 365). Washington, DC: Author.

- Flint, E.G., & Luloff, A.E. (2005). Natural resource-based communities, risk, and disaster: An intersection of theories. *Society and Natural Resources*, 18(5), 399-412.
- Friesema, H.P., Caporaso, J., Goldstein, G., Lineberry, R. & McCleary, R. (1979). *Aftermath: Communities after natural disasters.* Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Grant, A. (2005, March 21). CERT's future uncertain due to budget cuts; Disasterresponse teams may buckle despite growth. *The Herald-Sun*, p. AI.
- Green, G., & Haines, A. (2002). Asset building and community development.

 Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hewitt, K. (1998). Excluded perspectives in the social construction of disaster. In E.L. Quarantelli (Ed.), What is a disaster?: Perspectives on the question (pp. 75-91). New York: Routledge.
- Independent Sector. (2001). *Giving and volunteering in the United States*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Luloff, A.E., & Bridger, J. (2003). Community agency and local development. In D. Brown & L. Swanson (Eds.), Challenges for rural America in the twenty-first century (pp. 203-213). University Park: Pennsylvania State

University Press.

- Luloff, A.E., & Swanson, L. (1995). Community agency and disaffection: enhancing collective resources. In L. Beaulieu and D. Mulkey (Eds.), *Investing in people: The human capital needs of rural America* (pp. 351-372). Boulder, CO: Westview.
- Mitchell, J.K. (1996). The long road to recovery: Community responses to disasters. New York: United Nations.
- Natural Hazards Research and Applications Information Center (NHRAIC). (2001). Holistic disaster recovery: Ideas for building local sustainability after a natural disaster. Boulder, CO: Author.
- Schwab, J., Topping, KC., Eadie, C.C., Deyle, R.E., & Smith, R.A. (1998). Planning for post-disaster recovery and reconstruction, (PAS Report No. 483/484). Chicago, IL: American Planning Association.
- Stehr, S. (2001). Community recovery and reconstruction following disasters. In Ali Farazmand (Ed.), *Handbook of crisis and emergency management* (pp. 419-431). New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Wilkinson, K. (1991). *The community in rural America*. New York: Greenwood Press.

About the Authors

M. A. Brennan's teaching, research, writing, and program development concentrates on the role of volunteerism and community involvement/action in the community development process. Of particular interest has been the impact of rapid social change on communities, natural resource management, tourism, and local culture. He has over 15 years of experience designing, conducting, and analyzing research. This work has resulted in over 20 publications in journals, books, reports and over 25 Cooperative Extension fact sheets. He has conducted comparative

research extensively throughout America, Ireland, Northern Ireland, Scotland, and Japan.

Courtney G. Flint, PhD, is Assistant Professor in the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. She received a BS in Geography from Northern Arizona University, an MS in Geography from the University of Colorado, and a PhD in Rural Sociology from Pennsylvania State University. Her research focuses on community response to ecosystem disturbance and integrating risk perception and local capacities for action into natural resource and risk management. She incorporates frameworks from community theory, risk, and disaster research, and ecosystem management in teaching and addressing natural resource related problems.

Rosemary V Barnett, PhD, is Assistant Professor of Youth Development & Public Policy in the Department of Family, Youth, and Community Sciences at the University of Florida. She received a BS in Business Administration & Economics from Shorter College, an MEd in Counselor Education from Florida Atlantic University and a PhD in Higher Education Administration from the University of Florida. Her primary teaching examines risk and protective factors for youth, and analysis of current policy issues. Her research focuses on examining safety issues for youth in schools and communities. Much of her work is conducted by examining prevention programs for points of impact.